

Transcript of **Public Hearing: Appeals Procedure**

Date: November 10, 2015

Case: Kane County Zoning Board of Appeals

Planet Depos, LLC Phone: 888-433-3767

Fax: 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com
Internet: www.planetdepos.com

Worldwide Court Reporting | Interpretation | Trial Services

1	BEFORE THE KANE COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
2	KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS
3	
4	
5	
6	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	Geneva, Illinois
8	Tuesday, November 10, 2015
9	8:00 p.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Job No.: 97238E
23	Pages: 1 - 26
24	Reported By: Paula Quetsch, CSR

Public Hearing: Appeals Procedure Conducted on November 10, 2015

		2
1	Held at the location of:	
2		
3		
4		
5	KANE COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER	
6	719 South Batavia Avenue	
7	Building A, 4th Floor Conference Room	
8	Geneva, Illinois 60134	
9	(630) 444-1236	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Before Paula Quetsch, CSR, and Notary Public in	
15	and for the State of Illinois.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Public Hearing: Appeals Procedure Conducted on November 10, 2015

		3
1	PRESENT:	
2	JOSEPH WHITE, Chairman	
3	HAROLD BOWEN, Member	
4	PENNY CAMERON, Member	
5	DANIEL HEINRICH, Member	
6	ROBERT MOGA, Member	
7	GERALD REGAN, Member	
8	ROXANNE STOVER, Member	
9		
10	ALSO PRESENT:	
11	MARK D. VAN KERKHOFF, Zoning Enforcing Officer	
12	KEITH BERKHOUT, Secretary	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN WHITE: The next item of business is to welcome our State's Attorney representatives and discuss an appeal that has been made concerning the petition that's going to come before us next week.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Well, this is on the agenda tonight because we have received an appeal relative to a petition that's been received, and I'll take this opportunity to give you all a copy of the appeal.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Was everybody aware of this?

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: No. This is new information.

This was addressed to Joe White and myself as the zoning enforcement officer, and the zoning ordinance provides an appeals process for persons who feel that they have been aggrieved by a decision made by myself as the zoning enforcement officer. So their right under our zoning ordinance is to appeal to you as the Zoning Board as to why my decision was right or wrong and what action they're requesting for you to change it.

So we're not going to discuss the appeal

tonight. There's been a hearing set for the appeal, and the State's Attorney's office is here to help sort of talk us through what that appeal hearing looks like. I don't know if any of you have been on the zoning board when there's been an appeal to you as a zoning board of appeals regarding a decision made by a zoning officer. I know this is my first one, so that's further reason for that.

2.3

The other reason is that pertaining to the petition submitted by Maxxam Partners, LLC, for the Glenwood School property. So that petition had been scheduled for public hearing next Tuesday night at 7:00 p.m. at the Circuit Court branch court building on Randall Road with a potential secondary night Thursday, November 19th.

Those hearings for the petition have been canceled because an appeal per our ordinance stays any furtherance of the action that's being related to that being appealed, in this case that particular petition.

So instead of next Tuesday evening you hearing the -- conducting the public hearing for the petition itself you'll be holding a hearing for the appeal of one of my decisions, basically, how I processed the petition relative to that petition.

Does that make sense?

MEMBER BOWEN: Can I ask you a question? In my time on the Board, we've never had anything that says like you're doing right now. There may have been some coming through, but we didn't realize -- know at that time. Because this came through coming back in.

So explain for those who are stupid like myself.

MEMBER STOVER: Well, the question is I
think we -- because it's confusing -- and I've been on
not as long as Hal but the second longest probably -something that is in your findings of fact that you
turned over to us, is this what I'm understanding
then? Because you really don't approve anything
except the fact that they can come to us; correct?

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Correct. And that's actually what they're appealing. They don't think I followed the process correctly.

MEMBER STOVER: Okay.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: So that's the crux of it. And you can read it. I'll be preparing a memo to you which you'll receive in advance of the hearing so you hear my side of it. I recognize it's on the agenda tonight.

		7
1	MEMBER REGAN: Next Tuesday night?	
2	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Next Tuesday night.	
3	MEMBER BOWEN: What is our decision then?	
4	CHAIRMAN WHITE: Whether to approve the	
5	appeal or deny the appeal; correct?	
6	MEMBER CAMERON: That night or Thursday	
7	night?	
8	CHAIRMAN WHITE: Well, that's the question	
9	I'm going to have for the State's Attorney. We have	
10	30 days to make that decision.	
11	MEMBER BOWEN: That's right.	
12	CHAIRMAN WHITE: My question was and I	
13	read the appeal they go into the reason why they're	
14	submitting the appeal not only because of the	
15	condition I forget the ordinance where it is	
16	exactly, but they're bringing up the case that the	
17	special use doesn't apply to any of the language	
18	that's currently in the ordinance, any of the	
19	definitions.	
20	Can they submit that during the appeal, or	
21	can they only appeal the procedure that you used in	
22	making your decision to go on to the hearing, public	
23	hearing?	
24	MR. KINNALLY: I can answer that. My name	

is Pat Kinnally and I'm here for the County Board.

I think the answer to that is both. I

think what you want to do here is to give people the

opportunity to say whatever it is they want to say

and give whatever interpretations they think are

erroneous — this may cut a little bit against

timewise the way things are done here, I'm not sure,

but whatever they want to say, I think we should let

them say that.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: You say "whatever they want to say." Who are you referring to?

MR. KINNALLY: The objectors. There's one objector. There may be more people that come. And one of the considerations you folks are going to have to determine is do you want other people to speak.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: In my eyes, no, I wouldn't because they're the ones that filed the appeal, so it only applies to them, their attorneys, and the individual that submitted the appeal. Am I correct in that?

MR. KINNALLY: The objector is the person that brought the appeal. It's my view -- having gone through one of these in Wayne recently; I'm involved in one -- that the objectors are the ones that get

l to talk.

I don't know if you permit public comment at your meetings. If you do, I would recommend that you do that. And the reason for this is because, in my view, whatever you decide is going to court.

So I know you all believe in transparency and trying to be inclusive. Erin I think agrees with me on this, and I believe Mark does, as well. As long as it doesn't get repetitive and immaterial, if you believe that it's in the best interests of the Zoning Board to let people make a three-minute comment or whatever, then I suggest you do that.

But you are correct, the people that have the burden here are the objectors, and they may call him as a witness, and I expect that they will. If he does, then I'll defend that. I'm not worried about that. That's fine. We don't have anything to hide.

We just want to provide a forum so that people can, as they say in 4.5, make their grievance because that's what they want to do and that's fine. And then you have 30 days thereafter to make your decision.

There could be a lot of people at this.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Well, that comes back to my

		1
1	question.	
2	MR. KINNALLY: Sure.	
3	CHAIRMAN WHITE: The public, are they going	
4	to be there to debate the merits of the petition that's	
5	not even been presented? All they can speak on	
6	MR. KINNALLY: That's out of order.	
7	CHAIRMAN WHITE: All they can speak on is	
8	the appeal of the decision that he made to go with the	
9	public hearing for that petition.	
10	MR. KINNALLY: Right. And there's going to	
11	be two issues there, Mr. Chairman, that is the	
12	procedural one and what I call the substantive one.	
13	If you read the petition, you can see that they're	
14	debating the similar uses provision.	
15	In any event, I'll be happy to answer any	
16	questions you have.	
17	MEMBER STOVER: The procedural one that	
18	they're talking about and, obviously, I'm a little	
19	behind the eight ball because I never read this.	
20	MR. KINNALLY: 5.15.	
21	MEMBER STOVER: 5.15?	
22	MR. KINNALLY: Right.	
23	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: In terms of people who	
24	may be present at the hearing who may want to speak to	

the appeal, I believe the petitioners themselves might want to --

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Maxxam Group?

MR. KINNALLY: Oh, yeah. And I think you've got to give them the opportunity to talk. I can only tell you my experience in the one I'm involved in right now where I am an objector they are letting the petitioner speak.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I can understand that. But they would want to debate the similar use question that the appeal brings up, but outside of that, anything else would be out of order.

MR. KINNALLY: I agree.

MEMBER STOVER: Including the procedural.

MR. KINNALLY: Absolutely. They can bring up -- the way I look at this -- and, Erin, you can talk on this, as well -- they've kind of dressed this up as a procedural issue, and embedded in that is the similar uses consideration, which I think is -- I don't want to speak for them, but I think that's the crux of their --

MEMBER STOVER: Can I ask you on the similar uses -- because I'm not used to seeing that, either.

So, in your experience both in this county or other

counties — either of you this question is for — the similar uses can be argued on either side whether those are really similar uses?

2.4

MR. KINNALLY: Right. We have specific provisions in our ordinance with respect to uses that may or may not be similar, and I think that the report that you will receive from staff will tell you their view not only with respect to the uses listed but also the definitions that are in our ordinance, if that answers your question, ma'am.

MEMBER STOVER: Say that again. I'm confused.

MR. KINNALLY: Well, we have specific terms

in our ordinance. Like a lot is a defined term; a

convalescent home is a defined term; obscenity is a

defined term.

MEMBER STOVER: Hospital is defined.

MR. KINNALLY: That's true. But there are also permitted uses that are within either F-1 or R-1 which is incorporated into F-1, and those are specifically stated.

MEMBER STOVER: We don't have -- we do not have language in here that I can remember that talks about -- specifically talks about similar uses. Is there any language like that within our zoning

ordinance?

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Well, there is in the petition for the property actually.

MEMBER STOVER: Right. But what I'm saying to you is we don't know -- what we are taught in here as to what our criteria is for deciding, is there any language within this ordinance -- because I can't remember any -- that talks about "and similar uses"?

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: You mean like a definition?

MEMBER STOVER: A definition of what our criteria is for adopting something as a special use, or a zoning change, or whatever, is that language ever used in there?

MR. KINNALLY: No. What is said in 5.15 is "deemed to be similar in nature." But that, again, is not a defined term, ma'am.

MEMBER STOVER: Okay.

MR. KINNALLY: So I think probably why you haven't had many of these appeals is because no one has ever challenged the zoning enforcement officer's determination of that particular issue. That's the only reason I can tell you.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: So this is about process.

So you're getting the notice of appeal for the first time. That's the first half.

2.1

Under the ordinance it's my duty to forward it to the Zoning Board to set an appeals hearing, which we've done. You'll get my side of the story, so to speak, with my memo to you shortly, hopefully by the end of the week, but in advance of the meeting, and then you'll hear testimony, including mine, including whatever else might be presented.

And at the end of the day if you decide that I did something wrong, I mean, I don't take that personally. We've got to be factual. So you listen to the evidence and hear it out.

MEMBER BOWEN: I have a question. I haven't read this, so I'm not sure what it is. So explain to me, if you would, what would happen on either — what are they trying to accomplish by coming back and objecting? What are they trying to accomplish? Then they don't have to go before the Zoning Board? What's the purpose of this? What's their purpose in their going back — what do they expect to —

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Stay the action is my thought, to slow things down is what I'm thinking.

MEMBER STOVER: Or make it go away. Now, if

2.0

we decided as a zoning board that we thought he was incorrect in saying this was a similar use and he had no right to tell them that they might have that capability, wouldn't that completely make it go away?

MEMBER BOWEN: That's what I guess I'm looking for.

MEMBER STOVER: Well, I think that either way we're going to be in court.

MR. KINNALLY: That's my opinion. I could be wrong. I think it's probably — that's just based on my experience, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: The other side of that is so if their appeal is successful, if you read the ordinance, the reason they're appealing is because there's not a use listed under F or R-1 that specifically identifies what they are doing. We could amend the ordinance to include language that would allow that type of use in F or R-1, which this applies to.

So that could be done. We could — depending on how this goes, we could amend the ordinance to include rehabilitation facilities are a use allowed in the F and R-1 zoning. That's the point they're trying to make is even though we're interpreting it as a

1 similar use, they are not. 2 MEMBER STOVER: Okay. 3 MEMBER MOGA: Mr. Chairman, aren't we going 4 to get into this on the 17th? 5 CHAIRMAN WHITE: The only thing we're -well, that was my first question is, how far into this 6 do we get? 8 MEMBER MOGA: I'm saying I don't think we 9 should really discuss this too much until we get to 10 the hearing. 11 CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm just trying to give you 12 some thought. 13 MEMBER BOWEN: I'm trying to find out what 14 we're doing and what the purpose behind it is. 15 CHAIRMAN WHITE: What the procedure is going 16 forward, he's going to give us a staff report -- it 17 would have been nice to have it tonight but we don't have it -- and it will outline his side of the 18 19 question. You need to read the appeal, get your 2.0 ordinance out, look up the statute, the different 21 sections that it applies to.

the ordinance to bring the comparisons out. It's all

in there; it's just we have to decide whether or not

I've looked at definitions; I've looked at

22

23

24

he followed the proper procedure.

MR. KINNALLY: The burden is on them. They go. It's their burden. They're the objectors, so they're the ones who must show that the decision that was made was incorrect in your opinion as a board, and you have 30 days after the close of the meeting to make that decision.

It's not his burden. In other words, they have to carry the ball.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: So the way this is going to look differently -- that's the other reason we wanted to discuss it tonight is because you're going to be in a different venue. How many people have been in the branch court room?

MEMBER CAMERON: Not recently but I have.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Traffic Court. It's a much larger room because we wanted to be able to accommodate whoever might all show up. When we switched it -- canceled the zoning hearing for the petition, we kept the same venue and time so that if we do get a large crowd, that works out fine.

My other thought is that eventually we will have the hearing for the petition at that location.

It will give us all an opportunity to use the room

once before we have a really large crowd. So that will give us an ability to kind of get used to the room setup and whatnot.

2.0

So anyway, you'll come like you always do, call the hearing to order, take testimony. There's an ability to cross-examine by the person, attorney who is representing the person doing the appeal.

As Pat suggested, giving people an opportunity to make comments on it would probably be encouraged so that, you know, there's not a perception of being shut out. And then listen to the evidence. You don't have to make a decision that night. If the testimony and everything goes long, and you want to stop it and start it back up on Thursday evening, you know, you can do that.

So I wanted to make sure you understood what was going to happen and what your role is.

MR. KINNALLY: There will be a court reporter like Paula there.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Who's been notified that the public hearing has been postponed?

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Canceled. We've notified the petitioner; we've notified the person who made the appeal; we've notified everyone that we notified of

the hearing originally. So all units of local government, adjacent property owners. The Village of Campton Hills, too, has done some extra publicity of the original petition.

2.0

So we may get some people there thinking they're coming to the hearing. We'll have staff there to explain to them right away when they are coming in that the hearing had been canceled and this is a hearing for an appeal so that we try to -- we're transparent, we're open in our communication to folks who are interested in what's happening on this piece of property.

MEMBER STOVER: So if I understood you correctly, we should keep conversation from the audience down to the appeal, not to the petition itself.

MR. KINNALLY: That's exactly right.

MEMBER STOVER: Okay. That's where it could really get long.

MR. KINNALLY: Then you're going to have to just say nicely that it's out of order.

MEMBER HEINRICH: It would be confusing.

MR. KINNALLY: We can handle that.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: We can help assist

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	2
1	with that
2	MEMBER STOVER: Can you remember ever or
3	you because I can't and maybe you can, Hal that
4	we ever had petitions that came before us for similar
5	uses? Have you looked back at that?
6	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: For a similar use?
7	MEMBER STOVER: I'd just love to know.
8	CHAIRMAN WHITE: Probably a little late to
9	be bringing it up.
10	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Yeah. Not that I can
11	recall.
12	MR. KINNALLY: Probably the reason you
13	haven't is because it's never been challenged before.
14	CHAIRMAN WHITE: She's just saying for a
15	facility like this.
16	MEMBER STOVER: And I just can't I can't
17	think of any myself. I mean, it would be great to say
18	don't you remember when we
19	MR. KINNALLY: Remember there's listed and
20	nonlisted uses. So you'll have the ordinance. You
21	can look at that and talk about that after the hearing
22	is over.
23	MEMBER BOWEN: I have the figures on my
24	computer from 1980 on, you know, showing my notes from

	21
1	where we were. And what we're looking for is any type
2	of a zoning meeting similar to this for what their
3	request is; is that correct?
4	MEMBER STOVER: For a similar use.
5	CHAIRMAN WHITE: Maxxam Properties is what
6	she's referring to, a rehabilitation facility that the
7	County has ever had
8	MEMBER STOVER: No, I'm not saying that at
9	all. I want to know if we gave you're the only
10	historian we have that someone that came before us
11	and we gave them you know, we passed that petition
12	and it really wasn't outlined specifically as that in
13	a what's the word I'm looking for? an accepted
14	use or special use, and it wasn't outlined specifically
15	in there but it was similar.
16	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Do you mean as like I
17	mean, their request is specifically uses similar to
18	others herein listed.
19	MEMBER STOVER: Right.
20	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: That's your question?
21	MEMBER STOVER: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN WHITE: So could be anything.
23	MEMBER STOVER: Could be anything.
24	MEMBER BOWEN: So the question is anything

	22
1	we had in the past which would come through in a
2	special use is what I would be looking for?
3	CHAIRMAN WHITE: Would be under special uses
4	but similar and not listed.
5	MEMBER STOVER: Not listed but they were
6	similar enough that we said yes.
7	MEMBER BOWEN: So it would be under
8	special use?
9	MEMBER STOVER: Yes.
10	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: It's only in the
11	F District.
12	MEMBER BOWEN: Right. Makes it very simple
13	because I have a special use whatever it is, then who
14	it is, and what it is.
15	MEMBER STOVER: Since 1980.
16	MEMBER BOWEN: So prior to 1980, I can't
17	help you.
18	MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Okay. Any other questions?
19	MEMBER CAMERON: Well, how many dates are we
20	going to have to save?
21	MEMBER STOVER: I've got a question. Are we
22	paid for that meeting, too?
23	MEMBER CAMERON: How many dates are we going
24	to have to save out into the future?

MR. KINNALLY: I assume, Penny, what will happen is that once we get to the point where we're going to have the hearings on the special use, Erin and I, and Mark, or Keith, or whoever will sit down and say, okay, "What do we have for time here? What can we stipulate on? What evidence can go in without" -- good lawyers should be able to get this done in two meetings because you're going to stipulate on most of the evidence. Now, there's some people that the Board might want to hear from.

But to answer your question, my view -- and Pat can tell you this, too -- is after about 2 1/2 hours everybody kind of goes to sleep; the court reporter is tired. So if you start at 7:00 and you go to 9:30 or 10:00, that's kind of the lifeline here in me doing these.

So to answer your question directly, I would think two of those meetings ought to be enough. I don't want to speak for the petitioner or the objectors, but I would say that most good lawyers are going to stipulate to a lot of exhibits, they're going to put that into the — that's why you've got 30 days to decide after that.

I think two, Penny.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: And on this particular petition it's already started with this appeal. You know, a lot of your petitions are pretty quick; you guys are pretty efficient. This is not going to be an efficient petition.

Public Hearing: Appeals Procedure

The process is very important. That's why they're here to help guide me and to guide you in the process, and it's what we've got to follow. It's just going to take more time.

MEMBER STOVER: Can I -- this is what we would consider under new business. So do I have the right to ask a question about something that I read on that petition tonight?

MR. KINNALLY: No, I wouldn't do that. I'd wait, with all due respect, ma'am.

MEMBER STOVER: Yes. That's fine.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Our contact is best within the meetings and the process that we have. I mean, you won't read anything we say in the paper.

MR. KINNALLY: Nor me.

MEMBER HEINRICH: I'd move we adjourn.

MEMBER STOVER: Second.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Any more business to come

24 before us?

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Paula M. Quetsch, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 084-003733, CSR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings, that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision, and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 15th day of November, 2015.

My commission expires: October 16, 2017

Notary Public in and for the

State of Illinois

			1
A	18:4	10:19 17:9	19:3
ability	appeal	based	canceled
18:2,6	4:4,7,10,20,24 5:1,3,5	15:10	5:17 17:19 18:22 19:8
able	5:17,23 7:5,5,13,14	basically	capability
17:17 23:7	7:20,21 8:17,19,22	5:23	15:4
Absolutely	10:8 11:1,11 14:1	Batavia	carry
11:15	15:13 16:19 18:7,24	2:6	17:9
accepted	19:9,15 24:2 25:8	believe	case
21:13	appealed	9:6,8,10 11:1	5:19 7:16 26:13
accommodate	5:19	BERKHOUT	CENTER
17:18	appealing	3:12	2:5
accomplish	6:17 15:14	best	CERTIFICATE
14:17,18	appeals	9:10 24:17	26:1
action	1:1 4:17 5:6 13:20 14:4	1	Certified
4:22 5:18 14:22	applies	8:6	26:3
addressed	8:18 15:19 16:21	board	certify
4:15	apply	1:1 4:21 5:5,6 6:3 8:1	26:7
adjacent	7:17	9:11 14:4,19 15:1	Chairman
19:2	approve	17:5 23:10	3:2 4:2,11 7:4,8,12
adjourn	6:14 7:4	BOWEN	8:10,16 9:24 10:3,7
24:21 25:1	argued	3:3 6:2 7:3,11 14:14	10:11 11:3,9 14:22
adopting	12:2	15:5 16:13 20:23	15:12 16:3,5,11,15
13:12	assist	21:24 22:7,12,16 25:1	
advance	19:24	branch	18:20 20:8,14 21:5,22
6:22 14:7	assume	5:13 17:14	22:3 24:23 25:1,2,5,7
affixed	23:1	bring	challenged 13:21 20:13
26:17	attorney	11:15 16:23	
agenda	4:3 7:9 18:6	bringing	change 4:23 13:13
4:7 6:23	attorneys	7:16 20:9	
	8:18	brings	Circuit
aggrieved 4:18	Attorney's	11:11	5:13
	5:2	brought	close
agree	audience	8:22	17:6
11:13	19:15		come
agrees	Avenue	building 2:7 5:13	4:5 6:15 8:13 18:4 22:1
9:7	2:6	burden	24:23
allow	aware		comes
15:18	4:11	9:14 17:2,3,8	9:24
allowed	i I	business	coming
15:22	aye 25:3	4:2 24:11,23	6:5,6 14:17 19:6,7
amend		C	comment
15:17,21	Ayes 25:4	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	9:2,11
answer	23.4	4:1	comments
7:24 8:2 10:15 23:11	В	call	18:9
23:17	back	9:14 10:12 18:5	commission
answers		CAMERON	26:20
12:10	18:14 20:5		communication
anyway	ball	3:4 7:6 17:15 22:19,23	19:10
	l nan	Campton	

comparisons		1	_
16:23	criteria	definition	encouraged
10.000.000.000	13:6,12	13:10,11	18:10
completely 15:4	cross-examine	definitions	enforcement
1	18:6	7:19 12:9 16:22	4:16,19 13:21
computer 20:24	crowd	deny	Enforcing
	17:21 18:1	7:5	3:11
concerning 4:4	crux	depending	Erin
condition	6:20 11:21	15:20	9:7 11:16 23:3
7:15	CSR	determination	erroneous
	1:24 2:14 26:4	13:22	8:6
conducting 5:21	currently	determine	evening
Conference	7:18	8:15	5:20 18:14
2:7	cut	different	event
confused	8:6	16:20 17:13	10:15
12:11	D	differently	eventually
	D	17:11	17:22
confusing 6:10 19:22	3:11 4:1	directly	everybody
	DANIEL	23:17	4:11 23:13
consider 24:11	3:5	discuss	evidence
**==	dates	4:4,24 16:9 17:12	14:13 18:11 23:6,9
consideration 11:19	22:19,23	District	exactly
	day	22:11	7:16 19:17
considerations	14:10 26:17	doing	exhibits
8:14	days	6:4 15:16 16:14 18:7	23:21
contact	7:10 9:21 17:6 23:22	23:15	expect
24:17	debate	dressed	9:15 14:21
convalescent	10:4 11:10	11:17	experience
12:14	debating	due	11:6,24 15:11
conversation 19:14	10:14	24:15	expires
	decide	duty	26:20
copy 4:9	9:5 14:10 16:24 23:23	14:3	explain
	decided	E	6:7 14:15 19:7
correct 6:15,16 7:5 8:19 9:13	15:1	E	extra
21:3 26:8	deciding	4:1,1	19:3
	13:6	efficient	eyes
correctly 6:18 19:14	decision	24:4,5	8:16
	4:18,21 5:6 7:3,10,22	eight	F
counsel 26:11	9:22 10:8 17:4,7	10:19	F
counties	18:12	either	- 1
12:1	decisions	11:23 12:1,2,18 14:16	15:15,18,23 22:11 facilities
county	5:23	15:7	15:22
1:1,2 2:5 8:1 11:24	deemed	embedded	facility
21:7 26:5	13:16	11:18	20:15 21:6
21:7 20:3 court	defend	employed	fact
5:13,13 9:5 15:8 17:14	9:16	26:12	6:12,15
17:16 18:18 23:13	defined	encourage	factual
17.10 10.10 25.15	12:13,14,15,16 13:17	25:8	14:12
	12.13,17,13,10 13.1/	25.0	14.12

				2
far	Geneva	handle	identifies	
16:6	1:7 2:8	19:23	15:16	
favor	GERALD	happen	Illinois	
25:3	3:7	14:16 18:17 23:2	1:2,7 2:8,15 26:5,24	
feel	getting	happening	immaterial	
4:18	14:1	19:11	9:9	
figures	give	happy	important	
20:23	4:9 8:3,5 11:5 16:11,16	10:15	24:6	
filed	17:24 18:2	HAROLD	include	
8:17	giving	3:3	15:17,22	
financial	18:8	hear	including	
26:13	Glenwood	6:23 14:8,13 23:10	11:14 14:8,9	
find	5:11	heard		
16:13	go	25:4	inclusive	
findings	7:13,22 10:8 14:19,24	hearing	9:7	
6:12	15:4 17:3 23:6,14		incorporated	
fine	goes 15.4 17.5 25.0,14	5:1,3,12,21,21,22 6:22	12:19	
9:17,20 17:21 24:16	15:21 18:13 23:13	7:22,23 10:9,24 14:4	incorrect	
first	going	16:10 17:19,23 18:5	15:2 17:5	
5:7 14:1,2 16:6	4:5,24 7:9 8:14 9:5	18:21 19:1,6,8,9	individual	
Floor	· ·	20:21	8:19	
2:7	10:3,10 14:21 15:8	hearings	information	
folks	16:3,15,16 17:10,12	5:16 23:3	4:14	
8:14 19:10	18:17 19:20 22:20,23	HEINRICH	interest	
follow	23:3,8,21,21 24:4,9	3:5 19:22 24:21	26:13	
24:8	good	Held	interested	
followed	23:7,20	2:1	19:11	
	government	help	interests	-
6:18 17:1	2:5 19:2	5:2 19:24 22:17 24:7	9:10	-
foregoing	great	hereunto	interpretations	1
26:6,7	20:17	26:16	8:5	Į
forget	grievance	hide	interpreting	-
7:15	9:19	9:17	15:24	1
forum	Group	Hills	involved	
9:18	11:3	19:3	8:23 11:6	
forward	guess	historian	issue	1
14:3 16:16	15:5	21:10	11:18 13:22	1
further	guide	holding	issues	- 1
5:8	24:7,7	5:22	10:11	1
furtherance	guys	home	item	1
5:18	24:4	12:14	4:2	1
future		hopefully		1
22:24	Н	14:6	J	1
F-1	Hal	Hospital	Job	
12:18,19	6:11 20:3	12:16	1:22	
	half	hours	Joe	
G	14:2	23:12	4:15	
G	hand		JOSEPH	
4:1	26:17	I	3:2	
4:1	26:17	I	3:2	_

K	- 12:8 15:15 20:19 21:18	9:3 23:8,18 24:18	20:20
Kane	22:4,5	Member	notarial
1:1,2 2:5 26:5	listen	3:3,4,5,6,7,8 6:2,9,19	26:17
keep	14:12 18:11	7:1,3,6,11 10:17,21	Notary
19:14	little	11:14,22 12:11,16,21	
Keith	8:6 10:18 20:8	13:4,11,18 14:14,24	notes
3:12 23:4	LLC	15:5,7 16:2,3,8,13	20:24
kept	5:10	17:15 19:13,18,22	notice
17:20	local	20:2,7,16,23 21:4,8	14:1
KERKHOFF	19:1	21:19,21,23,24 22:5,7	
3:11 4:6,13 6:16,20 7:2		22:9,12,15,16,19,21	18:20,22,23,24,24
10:23 13:2,9,24 17:10	1	22:23 24:10,16,21,22	
17:16 18:22 19:24	long	25:1	1:8 5:15 26:18
20:6,10 21:16,20	6:11 9:8 18:13 19:19	memo	1.6 3.13 20:18
22:10,18 24:1,17	longest	6:21 14:6	0
kind	6:11	merits	0
11:17 18:2 23:13,15	look	10:4	4:1
Kinnally	11:16 16:20 17:11	mine	objecting
7:24 8:1,12,21 10:2,6	20:21	14:8	14:18
10:10,20,22 11:4,13	looked	MOGA	objector
11:15 12:4,12,17	16:22,22 20:5	3:6 16:3,8	8:13,21 11:7
13:15,19 15:9 17:2	looking	move	objectors
18:18 19:17,20,23	15:6 21:1,13 22:2	24:21 25:1	8:12,24 9:14 17:3
20:12,19 23:1 24:14	looks	moved	23:20
24:20	5:3	25:2	obscenity
know	lot	25.2	12:14
5:4,7 6:5 9:2,6 13:5	9:23 12:13 23:21 24:3	N	obviously
18:10,15 20:7,24 21:9		N	10:18
21:11 24:3	20:7	4:1	October
21.11 24.5	20.7	name	26:20
L	M	7:24	office
language	M	nature	5:2
7:17 12:22,24 13:7,13	26:3	13:16	officer
15:17	making	need	3:11 4:16,19 5:7 26:6
large	7:22	16:19	officer's
17:21 18:1	Mark	neither	13:21
larger	3:11 9:8 23:4	26:11	Oh
17:17	Maxxam	never	11:4
late	5:10 11:3 21:5	6:3 10:19 20:13	okay
20:8	ma'am	new	6:19 13:18 16:2 19:18
lawyers	12:10 13:17 15:11	4:13 24:11	22:18 23:5
23:7,20	24:15	nice	once
letting	mean	16:17	18:1 23:2
11:7	13:9 14:11 20:17 21:16	nicely	ones
lifeline	21:17 24:18	19:21	8:17,24 17:4
23:15	meeting	night	open
listed	14:7 17:6 21:2 22:22	5:12,14 7:1,2,6,7 18:12	19:10
	meetings	nonlisted	opinion
	8		obunon

15:9 17:5	Paula	pretty	21:20,24 22:21 23:11
opportunity	1:24 2:14 18:19 26:3	24:3,4	23:17 24:12
4:9 8:4 11:5 17:24 18:9	Penny	prior	questions
Opposed	3:4 23:1,24	22:16	10:16 22:18
25:5	people	probably	Quetsch
order	8:3,13,15 9:11,13,19	6:11 13:19 15:10 18:9	1:24 2:14 26:3
10:6 11:12 18:5 19:21	9:23 10:23 17:13	20:8,12	quick
ordinance	18:8 19:5 23:9	procedural	24:3
4:17,20 5:17 7:15,18	perception	10:12,17 11:14,18	
12:5,9,13 13:1,7 14:3	18:10	procedure	R
15:14,17,21 16:20,23	permit	7:21 16:15 17:1	R
20:20 25:9	9:2	proceedings	4:1
original	permitted	1:6 26:6,8,9	Randall
19:4	12:18	process	5:14
originally	person	4:17 6:18 13:24 24:6,8	read
19:1	8:21 18:6,7,23	24:18	6:21 7:13 10:13,19
ought	personally	processed	14:15 15:13 16:19
23:18	14:12	5:24	24:12,19 25:8
outcome	persons	proper	realize
26:14	4:17	17:1	6:5
outline	pertaining	Properties	really
16:18	5:9	21:5	6:14 12:3 16:9 18:1
outlined	petition	property	19:19 21:12
21:12,14	4:5,8 5:10,11,16,19,22	5:11 13:3 19:2,12	reason
outside	5:24,24 10:4,9,13	provide	5:8,9 7:13 9:4 13:23
11:11	13:3 17:20,23 19:4,15	9:18	15:14 17:11 20:12
owners	21:11 24:2,5,13	provides	recall
19:2	petitioner	4:17	20:11
	11:8 18:23 23:19	provision	receive
P	petitioners	10:14	6:22 12:7
P	11:1	provisions	received
4:1	petitions	12:5	4:7,8
Pages	20:4 24:3	public	recognize
1:23	piece	2:14 5:12,21 7:22 9:2	6:23
paid	19:11	10:3,9 18:21 26:4,23	recommend
22:22	point	publicity	9:3
paper	15:23 23:2	19:3	record
24:19	postponed	purpose	25:10 26:8
particular	18:21	14:20,20 16:14	reduced
5:19 13:22 24:1	potential	put	26:10
parties	5:14	23:22	refer
26:12	preparing	p.m	25:9
Partners 5 10	6:21	1:9 5:13 25:10	referring
5:10	present		8:11 21:6
passed	3:1,10 10:24	Q	REGAN
21:11	presented	question	3:7 7:1
Pat	10:5 14:9	6:2,9 7:8,12 10:1 11:10	regarding
8:1 18:8 23:12		12:1,10 14:14 16:6,19	5:6

			3
5:6	s	16:18	stays
rehabilitation	4:1	sign	5:17
15:22 21:6	save	25:5	
related	22:20,24	similar	stenographically
5:18 26:12	saying		26:9
relative	13:4 15:2 16:8 20:14	10:14 11:10,19,22 12:2	1 -
4:8 5:24	21:8	12:3,6,23 13:8,16	23:6,8,21
remember		15:2 16:1 20:4,6 21:2	stop
	says	21:4,15,17 22:4,6	18:14
12:22 13:8 20:2,18,19	6:4	simple	story
repetitive 9:9	scheduled	22:12	14:5
	5:12	sit	STOVER
report	School	23:4	3:8 6:9,19 10:17,21
1:6 12:6 16:16	5:11	sleep	11:14,22 12:11,16,21
Reported	seal	23:13	13:4,11,18 14:24 15:7
1:24	26:17	slow	16:2 19:13,18 20:2,7
reporter	second	14:23	20:16 21:4,8,19,21,23
18:19 23:13 26:1,4	6:11 24:22	sort	22:5,9,15,21 24:10,16
representatives	secondary	5:2	24:22
4:3	5:14	South	stupid
representing	seconded	2:6	6:7
18:7	25:3	speak	submit
request	Secretary	8:15 10:5,7,24 11:8,20	7:20
21:3,17	3:12	14:6 23:19	submitted
requesting	sections	special	5:10 8:19
4:22	16:21	7:17 13:12 21:14 22:2	submitting
respect	see	22:3,8,13 23:3	7:14
12:5,8 24:15	10:13	specific	
response	seeing	12:4,12	substantive
25:6	11:23	specifically	10:12
right	sense		successful
4:20,21 6:4 7:11 10:10	6:1	12:20,23 15:16 21:12 21:14,17	15:13
10:22 11:7 12:4 13:4	set		suggest
15:3 19:7,17 21:19	5:1 14:4 26:16	staff	9:12
22:12 24:12		12:7 16:16 19:6	suggested
Road	setup 18:3	start	18:8
5:14		18:14 23:14	supervision
ROBERT	Shorthand	started	26:11
3:6	26:1,3	24:2	sure
l	shortly	State	8:7 10:2 14:15 18:16
role	14:6	2:15 26:5,24	switched
18:17	show	stated	17:19
room	17:4,18	12:20	
2:7 17:14,17,24 18:3	showing	State's	T
ROXANNE	20:24	4:3 5:2 7:9	take
3:8	shut	statute	4:9 14:11 18:5 24:9
R-1	18:11	16:20	taken
12:18 15:15,18,23	side	Stay	26:7,9
-	6:23 12:2 14:5 15:12	14:22	talk
S			5:3 9:1 11:5,17 20:21

			3
talking	9:6	17:13,20	words
10:18	transparent	view	17:8
talks	19:10	8:22 9:5 12:8 23:11	
12:22,23 13:8	true	Village	works
taught	12:17 26:8	19:2	17:21
13:5	try	19:2	worried
tell	19:9	W	9:16
11:6 12:7 13:23 15:3	trying	wait	wouldn't
23:12		24:15	8:16 15:4 24:14
term	9:7 14:17,18 15:23	want	wrong
12:13,14,15 13:17	16:11,13		4:22 14:11 15:10
	Tuesday	8:3,4,8,10,15 9:18,20	*7
terms	1:8 5:12,20 7:1,2	10:24 11:2,10,20	<u> </u>
10:23 12:12	turned	18:13 21:9 23:10,19	yeah
testimony	6:13	wanted	11:4 20:10
14:8 18:5,13	two	17:12,17 18:16	7
thing	10:11 23:8,18,24	wasn't	Z
16:5	type	21:12,14	zoning
things	15:18 21:1	way	1:1 3:11 4:16,16,19,20
8:7 14:23	typewriting	8:7 11:16 15:8 17:10	4:21 5:5,6,7 9:10
think	26:10	Wayne	12:24 13:13,21 14:4
6:10,17 8:2,3,5,8 9:7		8:23	14:19 15:1,23 17:19
11:4,19,20 12:6 13:19		week	21:2 25:9
15:7,10 16:8 20:17	understand	4:5 14:7	
23:18,24	11:9	welcome	0
thinking	understanding	4:3	084-003733
14:23 19:5	6:13	We'll	26:4
thought	understood	19:6	1
14:23 15:1 16:12 17:22	18:16 19:13	we're	
three-minute	units	4:24 15:8,24 16:5,14	1
9:11	19:1	19:9,10 21:1 23:2	1:23
Thursday	use	we've	1/2
5:15 7:6 18:14	7:17 11:10 13:12 15:2	6:3 14:5,12 18:22,23	23:12
time	15:15,18,22 16:1	18:24 24:8	10
6:3,6 14:2 17:20 23:5	17:24 20:6 21:4,14,14	whatnot	1:8
24:9	22:2,8,13 23:3	18:3	10:00
timewise	uses	WHEREOF	23:15
8:7	10:14 11:19,23 12:2,3	26:16	15th
tired	12:5,8,18,23 13:8	White	26:17
23:14	20:5,20 21:17 22:3	3:2 4:2,11,15 7:4,8,12	16
tonight		8:10,16 9:24 10:3,7	26:20
4:7 5:1 6:24 16:17	v	11:3,9 14:22 15:12	17th
17:12 24:13	VAN	16:5,11,15 18:20 20:8	16:4
Traffic	3:11 4:6,13 6:16,20 7:2	20:14 21:5,22 22:3	19th
17:16	10:23 13:2,9,24 17:10		5:15
	17:16 18:22 19:24	24:23 25:2,5,7	1980
transcript	20:6,10 21:16,20	witness	20:24 22:15,16
26:7	22:10,18 24:1,17	9:15 26:16	
transparency	venue	word	2
	TOLING	21:13	1

			3
	1		
2	1		
23:12]		i
2015			
1:8 26:18		ł	1
2017		1	
26:20			
26			1
1:23		1	1
1.23		Ī	1
3			
30		!	
	1)		1
7:10 9:21 17:6 23:22	ŀ		
4	1		
	1		
4th			
2:7			
4.5			
9:19]
444-1236	1		
2:9		1	
5			1
5.15]
10:20,21 13:15			1
			1
6			1
60134			[]
2:8			
630			
2:9			
2.9			
7			ĺ
7:00	 		i l
5:13 23:14			
3.13 23:14 710			
719			1
2:6			1
8			
			1
8:00			1
1:9			1
8:26	1		
25:10			- 1
	İ		
9			
9:30			1
23:14			1
97238E			
1:22	I		
			1
			1